IN THE HIGH COURT OF BOMBAY AT GOA

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 7 OF 2005

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 208 OF 2002

SHRI RAMDAS N.NAIK AND 3 ORS., ...Applicants

Versus

THE MAMLATDAR & ADMINISTRATOR OF DEVASTHAN'S & 4 O ....Respondents

Mr. M. S. Usgaokar, Senior Advocate with Mr. I.Agha, advocate for the applicants.
Mr. S. S. Kantak, Advocate General with Ms. G. Bhonsale, Additional Government Advocate for respondent nos.1 to 3.
Mr. M. S. Sonak, advocate for the respondent nos.4 to 8.

Coram:- R. M. LODHA &
N. A. BRITTO, JJ.

Date:- 6th September, 2005

P.C.

The learned Senior Counsel for the applicants submits that during the pendency of the Civil Application, the existing building of the temple has been demolished, though unauthorisedly.

The building of the temple having been already demolished, the prayer made in the Civil Application for directions to the respondents to maintain status quo does not survive.

The learned counsel for the respondents submits that after demolition of the existing building, the new building has been constructed. We make it clear that any construction made by the respondent no.4 during the pendency of the Writ Petition shall be subject to the final decision in the Writ Petition.

With the aforesaid clarification, the Civil Application stands disposed of.

R. M. LODHA, J.

N. A. BRITTO, J.